

# Evaluation Dashboard, Elementary Attendance Area Adjustment Options

## OPTION D2

### 1. Projected Enrollment & Building Utilization

| Elementary School | Jan. 2019  | Projected 2020 | Projected 2025 | Projected 2030 | Building Capacity |
|-------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Elm Lawn          | 475        | 425            | 438            | 426            | 497               |
| Northside         | 444        | 429            | 426            | 433            | 466               |
| Park              | 311        | 287            | 327            | <b>386</b>     | 342               |
| Pope Farm         | 0          | 388            | 475            | <b>550</b>     | 525               |
| Sauk Trail        | 363        | 370            | 368            | 370            | 394               |
| Sunset Ridge      | <b>496</b> | 398            | 430            | 449            | 485               |
| West Middleton    | <b>484</b> | 411            | 431            | 436            | 443               |

Red bolded text means that projection is over maximum building capacity

CRITERION MET? YES NO DK MY NOTES: \_\_\_\_\_

### 2. Boundary Changes Should Address Barriers to Student and Family Engagement

| Elementary School | Free & Reduced Lunch | English Language Learners | Students of Color |
|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Elm Lawn          | 10%                  | 5%                        | 26%               |
| Northside         | 11%                  | 9%                        | 27%               |
| Park              | 20%                  | 2%                        | 14%               |
| Pope Farm         | 6%                   | 16%                       | 49%               |
| Sauk Trail        | 44%                  | 17%                       | 47%               |
| Sunset Ridge      | 9%                   | 7%                        | 23%               |
| West Middleton    | 25%                  | 11%                       | 36%               |

Based on current enrollment distribution; above stats are not only consideration for criterion

CRITERION MET? YES NO DK MY NOTES: \_\_\_\_\_

### 3. Neighborhood Unity

See option map to assist with judgment against criterion

CRITERION MET? Yes No DK

MY NOTES: \_\_\_\_\_

### 4. Duration of Boundaries/ Minimize Impact on Students

19% of elementary school students would change attendance areas if adjustment were to occur today (73% of these would be to Pope Farm)

16 of 76 neighborhoods would change attendance areas (8 of these would be to Pope Farm; 5 to Sunset Ridge)

CRITERION MET? Yes No DK

MY NOTES: \_\_\_\_\_

### 5. Fiscal Responsibility

This criterion is typically one the most difficult for a citizen-based committee to evaluate

CRITERION MET? Yes No DK

MY NOTES: \_\_\_\_\_

### 6. Elementary to Middle School Feeder Structure

One possible feeder structure may be to send to Kromrey eastern neighborhoods of Sunset Ridge, plus all of Elm Lawn, Northside, & Sauk Trail. There are other options.

CRITERION MET? Yes No DK

MY NOTES: \_\_\_\_\_

### 7. Contiguous Attendance Areas

See option map to assist with judgment against criterion

CRITERION MET? Yes No DK

MY NOTES: \_\_\_\_\_

### 8. District Transportation Efficiency

~84% of projected 2025 students would attend their closest school (current is 71%)

Neighborhoods near Pope Farm and part of 16E shift from bussing to walking zone; no shifts from walking to bussing

CRITERION MET? Yes No DK

MY NOTES: \_\_\_\_\_

